UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURSOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	RT K x
SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,	U.S. F
Plaintiff-Appellant,	SIPA LIQUIDATION (Substantively Consolidated) SAdv. Pro. No. 08-10789 (BRL)
V.	· 의 기계
BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,	A 9: 24
Defendant.	:
	: : Bankr. Case No. 08-1789 (BRL)
In re:	: Danki. Case 140. 00-1705 (BN2)
BERNARD L. MADOFF,	: ;
Debtor.	: :
	X

## OBJECTION TO TRUSTEE'S DETERMINATION OF CLAIM

BRUCE WILPON (the "Customer") hereby objects to the Notice of Trustee's Determination of Claim (the "Determination") dated November 12, 2010.

## **BACKGROUND**

1. On June 1	8, 2009, the Customer filed a customer claim, with supporting
documents (together, the "Claim"), which the Trustee has designated as Claim No.	
010018	The Claim is incorporated herein by reference.
2. On or abo	ut November 12, 2010, the Trustee in this matter (the "Trustee")
sent the Customer the I	Determination, which denied the Claim in its entirety. The

Determination states only that the Customer did not have an account with Bernard L.

Madoff Investment Securities ("BLMIS") and, thus, is not a customer under the

Securities Investor Protection Act ("SIPA"). The Determination of fersing of the Claim in full.

- 3. The Customer was an investor with BLMIS through his or her participation limited Building Equities Employees Retirement Plan, a participant directed 401(R) plan ithat the library account at BLMIS denominated TKW182.
- 4. In connection with the determination of claims for claimants who did not have an account in his her/its name at BLMIS ("the Customer Issue"), a scheduling order was entered regarding motion practice and a hearing concerning the Customer Issue. That motion practice and hearing, however, indisputably did not address ERISA claimants, such as Customer.

## GROUNDS FOR DEJECTION

- 5. As required by this Court's December 23, 2008 "Order Approving Form and Manner of Publication and Mailing of Notices, Specifying Procedures for Filing, Determination and Adjudication of Claims and Providing Other Relief" (the "Claims Procedures Order"), the Customer files this Objection to preserve all claims and defenses set forth in the Claim.
- 6. The Customer is a "customer" of the debtor as defined by the plain thenguage off SIPA and relevant case taw because the Customer entrusted money to BLMIS for the purpose of investing, trading, or participating in the securities market. 15

  USC. \$780(2)(4).

- As the Steiling Equities Employees Retirement Plan is a participant-directed plan, the Customer affirmatively elected to invest retirement savings with BLMIS, which evidences the usual traits of a customer relationship with the debtor.

  Participant-directed retirement plans are unique in nature because, unlike defined benefit plans, participants exercise direct control over their investments.
- 78. The Customer is a "customer" of the debtor irrespective of whether the Customer's name was on the account, as the name of a BEMIS account is not determinative of customer status.
- 9. The Customer also objects to the denial of the contingent and other claims set forth in the Claim. As noted, the Trustee has offered no basis for the denial.
- 10. In addition to these aforementioned objections, the Customer objects to the Trustee's denial and/or reduction of the Claim on a variety of grounds, including without limitation the following:
  - the effective avoidance of such transfers from BDMIS to Customer. There is no degal basis for avoidance of any transfer, and avoidance is barred by various provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and SIPA that protect the validity of each transfers and preclude their avoidance. Avoidance may not be effected except pursuant to the Bankruptcy Code and Rules, as made applicable through SIPA. Therefore, reducing the Claim by the amount of any withdrawal is improper and invalid.

- (b) Reducing the Claim by the amount of any withdrawal constitutes a set off that is invalid under applicable provisions of SIPA and the Bankruptcy Code.
- rate of return, for deposited amounts, pursuant to state law and federal securities law.
- (e) The Customer is entitled to an adjustment of the Claim to account for the Claim's current value.

## RELIEF-REQUESTED

- 11. For the reasons stated herein and in the Claim, the Claim should be allowed in its entirety (including, without limitation, costs, fees, expenses, and a comparable rate of return), and the Court should direct SIPC to issue immediate payment to the Customer and such other amounts and equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate to compensate Customer's losses arising from his or her investment in BLMIS.
- 12. The Customer reserves the right to revise, supplement, or amend this

  Objection and its Claim and any failure to object on a particular ground or grounds shall

  not be construed as a waiver of Customer's right to object on any additional grounds.

\*..\*..\*..\*

08-01789-cgm Doc 3382 Filed 12/09/10 Entered 12/13/10 14:53:15 Main Document Pg 5 of 5

Dated: New York Ny Nov. 21, 2010

BRUCE WILPON

By: